





## Number of Expressed Transcripts Relative to Category 👩 🍳 🕂 🔛 🗭 🖪 🗖 🔀 🌴 🧮 🕳 🗾

....

## Interpretation of Results

The overall results in the CDS/3'-UTR region comport with previous studies. Namely, methylation sites are heavily concentrated around, largely separated by, this interface. However, our results show, under the Cross-Transcript Index-Event Probability Metric, that common transcripts are generally more likely to have sites at nearly all stop codon-downstream positions. Next most likely for this region are control-only transcripts, and lastly are condition-only transcripts.

Upstream of the stop codon, we see effectively no discernable methylation pattern within common and control transcripts. However, condition transcripts show two sites with pronounced methylation, and in particular, the second of these two sites is essentially equal in probability to the stop codon-downstream site for control as well. As to why these "camel humps" persist upstream remains to be studied.

Lastly, the 5'-UTR/CDS interface shows almost no methylation pattern for any of the transcript types.

The comparative limit is the point past which cross-type statistical comparisons cannot be made because at least one of the types does not have enough transcripts with that many nucleotides (up- or downstream).

## Formal Definition of the Cross-Transcript Index-Event Probability Metric

Let T, the **transcript set**, consist of all transcripts where for a transcript  $t \in T$ we have  $t \subset \mathbb{N} \times \{N, Y\}$  with N and Y indicating lack of a methylation and presence of a methylation, respectively. Let  $T_m \subseteq T$  consist of all methylated transcripts. That is, for each  $t \in T_m$ , we have at least one element in t which is of the form (a, Y) where  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, let  $T_m$  consist of the three **type sets** of *common, condition,* and *control,* 

$$T_m = \{T_{common}, T_{condition}, T_{control}\}$$

where it is possible that  $T_x \cap T_y \neq \{\emptyset\}$  for  $x, y \in \{condition, control\}$ .

Next, for each  $t \in T_m$ , define the **index component**,  $t_a$ , and the **response component**,  $t_b$ , to be the *a*-th and *b*-th components for any  $(a, b) \in t$ . For each  $t \in T_m$ , define some  $q_t \in \mathbb{N}$ , the **offset**. Define the **index normalization function**  $\lambda : t \to \hat{t}$  as follows,

$$\lambda(t_a, t_b) = (q_t - t_a, t_b)$$

We will call the set  $\hat{t}$  the **normalized space of** t. This function essentially moves the 0-th position of a set of natural numbers to (potentially) some other number. Denote by  $\hat{T}_m$  the set of all methylated, normalized spaces of T.

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  be some "well-behaved" distance (in index positions) from the datum (the 0 index) of some transcript  $t \in \hat{T}_m$ . By "well-behaved" we mean that necessarily  $\min t_a \leq d \leq \max t_a$ . Let  $u \in \hat{T}_m$ . u is said to be within the radius of t if and only if there exists some  $u_a = d$  (by definition, t is within the radius of t). Let  $R_t \subseteq \hat{T}_m$ , the radial set of t, consist of all such transcripts within the radius of t,

$$R_t = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$$

In other words, the radial set consists of all transcripts which also contain the index defined by d on t.

Next, define the **restriction of** u by d as follows,

$$u^d = \{(a, b) \in u : a = d\}$$

In essence, we want only the singular element in some u corresponding to the distance. Let  $R_t^d$ , consist of all such u restricted by d,

$$R_t^d = \{u_1^d, u_2^d, \dots, u_n^d\}$$

We will call  $R_t^d$  the *d*-restricted set.

Finally, define the **Cross-Transcript Index-Event Probability** for t at d,  $\mathbb{P}_t^d$ , as the proportion of transcripts which contain a positive event at a given index,

$$\mathbb{P}_t^d = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n f(i)}{|R_t^d|}$$

where

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i^d|_b = Y \\ 0 & \text{if } u_i^d|_b = N \end{cases}$$

and  $u_i^d|_b$  is the *b*-th component of  $u_i^d$ .